1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8	Paul V. Bonn, State Bar No. 001516 Brian J. Campbell, State Bar No. 013177 D. Michael Hall, State Bar No. 010267 BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED 805 North Second Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 254-5557  Eugene O. Duffy Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C.	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
- 10	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF ARIZONA
. 11		,
12	IN THE ARIZON	IA TAX COURT
_ 13	comprised of federal employees who paid	No. TX 97-00119 No. TX 97-00131
14 15	contributions during one or more of the years	No. TX 97-00150 (Consolidated)
. 13		GOWENTY A MY ON T
16	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION OF
17	<b>v.</b>	SETTLEMENT
. 18	Director of the Arizona Department of	(Assigned to the Honorable Mark W. Armstrong)
. 19 :	Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,	
20	Defendants.	
21	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND	·
, <b>22</b>	THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON,	
23	TIOTTAKAN DE TONG C C	
24		
25	• <b>v.</b>	
26	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
27	Counterdefendants.	•
7 <u>9</u>		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, subject to the approval of the Tax Court and upon notice and opportunity for Claimants to be heard as follows:

# Federal Employee Retirement Contributions (FERC)

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- "Settlement" shall mean the settlement agreement set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement.
- 10 2. "Claim" or "Claims" shall mean a written request by which an Arizona taxpayer, including the taxpayer's authorized representative, has previously requested adjustment of his/her tax liability or a refund for a particular past tax year in connection with taxes paid on mandatory amounts contributed by the taxpayer to a federal employee retirement program. The term "Claim" shall include any legally adequate request made by amended tax return, refund request, correspondence or through any protective claim form whether filed on forms created and supplied by the Department of Revenue, or on forms supplied by the taxpayer.
- 15 3. "Department" shall mean the State of Arizona and the Arizona Department of Revenue, including their employees, agents and representatives.
- 17 4. "FILED," "FILING" or "FILES" (when appearing herein in all capital letters) shall mean delivered by U.S. mail or hand-delivery to the Department at one of its designated offices, and, in the case of Claims for which the Department has no proof of filing, shall further mean that delivery as discussed above is verifiable through certified mail receipt or signature of receipt by an authorized employee of the Department.
- 5. "Claimant(s)" shall mean any Arizona taxpayer who filed any Claim with the Department at any time up to and including the present day, or who files any Claim with the Department in the future.
- 6. "Late Filed Claim(s)" shall mean any Claim filed with the Department of Revenue on or after July 9, 1998 seeking a refund of taxes paid on federal employee retirement contributions in any tax year.
- 7. "Tax Court" shall mean the Tax Department of the Superior Court in Maricopa County, State of Arizona.

27

ንዩ

- 8. "ITR 98-1" shall mean the Arizona Individual Income Tax ruling issued by the Department concerning the relief to be provided to Claimants for years prior to 1991.
- "Litigation" shall mean Case Nos. TX97-00119, TX97-00131, TX97-00150
   (Consolidated) and the "1998 Judgment" shall mean the final judgment signed on June 17, 1998 and entered in the Litigation.
- 10. "Plaintiffs' Counsel" shall mean Randall D. Wilkins, Paul V. Bonn, Brian J. Campbell, D. Michael Hall, the law firm of Bonn & Wilkins, Chartered, formerly known as Bonn, Luscher, Padden & Wilkins, Chartered, Eugene O. Duffy, William A. Wiseman and the law firm of O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong S.C., formerly known as O'Neil, Cannon & Hollman, S.C.
- Paid Claim(s)" shall mean Claims previously paid for specific tax years during the course of the Litigation by the Department.
- 10 12. "Original Plaintiffs" shall mean the plaintiffs in case no. TX97-000119 (consolidated case) filed in the Tax Court by Clark J. Kerr and Billie Sue Kerr (collectively "KERRS"), Susan Moran ("MORAN"), Steve Allen ("ALLEN"), and John Udall ("UDALL"). "Plaintiffs" shall mean MORAN and UDALL.

17

23

#### **RECITALS**

- A. The KERRS filed a Claim with the Department for a refund of Arizona taxes paid on federal retirement system contributions in tax year 1984 and subsequent years.

  MORAN, ALLEN, and UDALL, each filed a Claim with the Department for a refund of taxes paid on federal retirement system contributions during 1985 and subsequent years.
- B. The KERRS and ALLEN have received all refunds to which they are entitled in connection with Arizona taxes paid on mandatory federal retirement contributions.

  MORAN and UDALL claim that their respective Claims are representative of a class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on mandatory federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 through the present and who did not receive a refund for those taxes.
- C. The Department denied the Original Plaintiffs' applications for refunds and they filed an appeal with the Department of Revenue.
- D. The Original Plaintiffs were ultimately denied refunds through the administrative process, and the Original Plaintiffs appealed by filing a Complaint with the Tax Court, in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, consolidated case no. TX97-000119.
- E. During the course of the Litigation, in 1997, the Department made a determination that it would pay certain Claims, and in fact conducted an evaluation of Claims filed and paid approximately \$10,740,000 in refunds by the end of June, 1998, and

- \$2,955,033.66 in fees to Plaintiff's Counsel pursuant to the 1998 Judgment by March, 2001. The Plaintiffs contend that the Claims paid and refunds issued are inadequate and do not satisfy all valid and timely Claims. The Plaintiffs have also filed a Motion to Enforce Judgment seeking to enforce the 1998 Judgment.
- F. As evidenced in the following provisions, the parties have reached an agreement, if finally approved by the Tax Court, making further proceedings in the Litigation unnecessary, and allowing the Department to obtain from the Plaintiffs a dismissal of Claims in the Litigation that are not entitled to further eligibility review under the terms of this Settlement and a formal declaration that the 1998 Judgment has been fully satisfied.

#### **AGREEMENT**

- INCORPORATION OF RECITALS. The preceding recitals are hereby incorporated in this Settlement.
- 2. WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION. Upon entry by the Tax Court of a final judgment approving the Settlement, it is agreed that Plaintiffs shall withdraw the Motion to Enforce Judgment.
- 3. DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS. Upon entry by the Tax Court of a final judgment 13 approving the Settlement, the parties shall execute a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice in a form substantially similar to that attached at Exhibit "A" hereto. 14 Except as otherwise provided in paragraphs 7 and 16 of this Settlement, the effect of said stipulation shall be to dismiss with prejudice all claims and causes of action, 15 including all purported or putative class or representative claims, pending in the Litigation, with all parties to bear its or their own attorneys' fees and costs in 16 connection with any dismissed claims or causes of action; provided, however, that with respect to any individual Claims subject to evaluation for refund eligibility under the terms of this Settlement, the foregoing dismissal shall become effective only upon 18 full performance by the Department of its obligations under the terms of this Settlement with respect to such individual Claims and such dismissal shall not 19 preclude or limit the rights of the Claimants who filed such claims to any administrative appeal, or any post-administrative appeal review, of any decision of 20 the Department under the terms of this Settlement and the Arizona law governing the 21 appeal rights of taxpayers whose refund claims are denied by the Department. Moreover, except as otherwise provided in paragraphs 7 and 16 of this Settlement, the 22 parties intend that approval of this Settlement by the Tax Court constitutes and shall 23 immediately effect a release and waiver by the Original Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs, all Claimants, and all members of the putative class asserted by the Original Plaintiffs of 24 any and all claims, causes of action, damages, injuries, refund claims, administrative claims, appeals, rights of set-off or offset, interest claims, and claims to attorneys' 25 fees or costs in connection with payment of any taxes to the State of Arizona on income constituting mandatory contributions to a federal retirement program at any 26 time prior to entry of this Settlement against the State of Arizona, the Arizona 27 Department of Revenue, and all their respective officers, elected officials, agents,

1

2

7

.12

employees, representatives and attorneys; provided, however, that with respect to any individual Claims subject to evaluation for refund eligibility under the terms of this Settlement, the foregoing release and waivers shall become effective only upon full performance by the Department of its obligations under the terms of this Settlement and such release and waiver is further subject to the rights of the Claimants who filed such Claims to appeal of any decision of the Department under the terms of this Settlement and the Arizona law governing such appeal rights.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 -

24

25

26

27

5 4.

CLAIMS TO BE REVIEWED. As part of its Settlement obligations, the Department agrees to evaluate for further refund eligibility any Claim that was filed prior to July 9, 1998 and that was either not previously evaluated for eligibility by the Department, or that was previously denied as being "untimely" or for reasons that were not otherwise specified in the denial paperwork, except that the Department shall not evaluate further any Claims that the Department previously denied for the following reasons: 1) because the Department determined that no sufficient evidence existed that the Claimant had made any federal retirement pension contributions during the relevant tax year(s) (including those Claimants for whom the Department had determined no sufficient evidence existed that they were employed by the federal government during the relevant tax year(s)); or 2) because the Department determined no sufficient evidence existed that the Claimant filed an Arizona tax return for the tax year for which the Claimant seeks a refund, or 3) because the Department determined that the Claimant had no Arizona tax liability or paid no Arizona income taxes in the tax year(s) for which the Claimant made his or her Claim(s). All Claims falling within the foregoing three (3) categories are referred to hereafter as the "Denied Claims." The Department shall not evaluate further any Paid Claim on which any refund payment has been made previously by the Department. All such Paid Claims shall be considered by all parties to have been paid in full. The Department and the Plaintiffs agree that the Department may identify other categories of Claims that should not be further evaluated for eligibility, and that the Department may, upon consultation with and agreement by the Plaintiffs, treat such Claims as Denied Claims are treated hereunder; provided, however, such agreement must be reached before the notice of hearing to approve the Settlement is mailed and published.

With respect to those previously Denied Claims for which there has been filed a prior administrative appeal, the parties stipulate that the 1998 Judgment is amended to the extent necessary in order to allow the Department to continue to process those appeals pursuant to the existing administrative appeals procedures of the Department and the final judgment approving the Settlement shall so reflect.

In deciding which tax years each Claim's eligibility for refund or credit will be evaluated for, the Department will evaluate any Claim eligible for review that was filed using the protective claim form issued by the Department with the 1990 Arizona income tax forms (the "1990 Claim Form") for eligibility in tax years 1985 through 1990, except to the extent the Claim already qualifies as a Denied Claim or Paid Claim for any of those tax years. The Department will evaluate all other Claims eligible for review that did not use the 1990 Claim Form for eligibility only for any of the tax years 1985 though 1990 expressly identified by the Claimant on their Claim

filing. Thus, for example, a protective claim form filed in 1995 checking boxes for 1990, 1992 and 1993 will only be evaluated for eligibility in 1990; provided, however, that evaluated Claims that expressly indicate a claim for 1990, or that designate no particular tax year will be first evaluated for eligibility in tax year 1990. If such a Claim is determined to be eligible for refund or credit in 1990, including a Paid Claim for 1990, the Department shall then evaluate the Claim for eligibility in 1989, and, so long as the evaluations of such Claims continue to show eligibility for refund or credit in the year under review, the Department shall review the Claim for eligibility in the preceding year stopping after any review necessitated by these terms for eligibility in tax year 1985. At the point at which the evaluation determines that such a Claim is not eligible for refund or credit in a given year, the Department shall not evaluate the Claim for eligibility in any preceding year. At no point shall any Paid Claim be evaluated for further refund or credit eligibility. Also, Claims that specifically designate only years after 1990 will not be reviewed for eligibility, but will be denied as failing to file an eligible Claim. For instance, if a Claimant filed a 1995 claim form marking 1992, 1993 and 1994 as the relevant claim years, the Department will not review the Claim for eligibility.

### 5. TREATMENT OF LATE CLAIMS, PAID CLAIMS AND DENIED CLAIMS AND RELEASE OF JUDGMENT.

The Plaintiffs agree that the Settlement with the Department constitutes a full release of the Department solely as to the operation and effect of the 1998 Judgment with respect to any of the Denied Claims, and any Claims filed on or after July 9, 1998 (the "Late Claims"), and that it further constitutes a satisfaction of the 1998 Judgment as to the Department with respect to any Paid Claims. The Department shall be entitled immediately upon entry of a final judgment approving the Settlement between the parties to take any actions that may or do prejudice the rights of the Claimants in connection with any Denied Claims, Paid Claims or Late Claims, including, but not limited to, issuance to Claimants of any notice of denial or other determinations requiring the Claimants to respond with a timely administrative appeal in order to preserve any portion of their Claim(s). The Plaintiffs agree that the Settlement constitutes a full release of the Department as to the operation and effect of the 1998 Judgment in accordance with the terms of this paragraph, and shall request that the Tax Court issue an amended judgment reflecting these terms and specifically releasing the Department from the terms of any prior injunctive order concerning the Denied Claims, Paid Claims or any Late Claims, and releasing the Department from any prior injunctive order to the extent otherwise necessary to implement the terms of the parties' Settlement.

#### 6. NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

つり

The parties will execute and file a Stipulation of Plan of Notice in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B. Upon preliminary approval of this Settlement by the Tax Court, and prior to evaluating Claims for further eligibility, the Department will send a notice as set forth in Exhibit B-I attached hereto to those persons who have filed

Claims. The notice: 1) shall be approved in advance as to form by the Tax Court as part of the Settlement of this matter; 2) shall explain the terms of the Settlement between the Plaintiffs and the Department; 3) shall identify categorically the types of previously unpaid Claims that will be evaluated for eligibility for a refund; 4) shall identify generally the procedure the Department will apply to determine if a Claim is eligible for a refund; 5) shall explain that upon completion of the evaluation of all of the remaining Claims, refunds or credits shall be provided on any timely and eligible Claims; and 6) shall provide notice of the settling parties' acknowledgement that the payment of any further refunds or credits shall be treated as payment from a common fund for purposes of the Settlement. The notice shall provide a date and time for a hearing before the Tax Court for final approval of the Settlement, and shall provide a deadline by which any Claimants wishing to object to the Settlement between the Plaintiffs and the Department must file their written objection. Notice as set forth in Exhibit B-2 attached hereto shall also be provided by Publication in the Federal Times.

б

و ,

つな

7.

The Department shall within a reasonable time after the entry of a final judgment approving the Settlement between the parties, commence providing written notice via United States mail to all Claimants who filed Denied Claims or Late Claims and to all Claimants who filed Paid Claims. Such notice shall indicate that the Department has either denied or paid the Claimants' Claims, that the injunction against further action by the Department to the prejudice of the rights of Claimants has been lifted, and that to the extent any time remained on the Claimants' administrative or judicial appeal rights, the time for filing any appropriate administrative or judicial action is running. The foregoing forms of notice shall commence the running of all applicable deadlines or periods of limitation for the filing of any administrative appeal, claim or any other legal proceeding related to enforcement of said Denied Claims, Late Claims and Paid Claims, and shall continue the running of any such applicable deadlines or periods of limitation for such Claims that have been tolled, enjoined or otherwise interrupted in connection with the Litigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TREATMENT OF SETTLEMENT AS COMMON FUND SETTLEMENT. In the Litigation, the principle was reaffirmed that a common fund case arises where a successful suit, brought by representative plaintiff(s), results in the creation of a monetary fund that benefits a limited and identifiable group similarly situated to the representative plaintiff(s). The 1998 Judgment determined that this is a common fund case and the payments made under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1 constituted a common fund for purposes of this Litigation. The Settlement represents a compromise of disputed claims to enforce the 1998 Judgment. The parties agree that the Department's issuance of any refunds or credits in response to any Claims shall be considered payment from a common fund for payment of meritorious and verifiable Claims, and that such fund consists of the amount of any refunds, including interest, that are actually paid or credited to any Claimant in connection with any Claims under the terms of this Settlement. The Plaintiffs and Department further agree that none of the Denied Claims, Paid Claims or the Late Claims are eligible for any participation in any common fund payments.

8. PROCESS BY WHICH CLAIMS ARE REVIEWED. The Department has indicated 1 to the Plaintiffs the general form of the procedures it intends to use for evaluating Claims eligible for further evaluation under the foregoing terms. These procedures 2 are, to the extent practical, the same procedures used in processing Paid Claims under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1 to the extent data sources and data 3 used in the prior evaluation program are still available. The Plaintiffs acknowledge 4 that the Department reserves discretion to depart from the established procedure where necessary due to lack of sufficient information, lack of Claimant cooperation, 5 or other factors making such departures reasonably necessary. The Plaintiffs and the Department expressly acknowledge the Department's right to issue a notice of denial б immediately upon determining that any Claim is ineligible for a refund or credit, and 7 that such notice commences immediately the running of any limitations period and invokes any requirements under existing law for the filing by the Claimants of any 8 administrative appeal or other legal proceeding.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ጎହ

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY FOR REFUND. The Plaintiffs and the Department agree that no Claim shall be eligible for refund if the Claimant asserting such Claim had no Arizona income tax liability for the tax year to which the Claim pertains, nor shall any Claim be eligible for refund if the net Arizona tax payments made by such Claimant for the tax year in question, considering any prior refunds made for such tax year for any reason, are \$0.00, or less. The Plaintiffs and the Department further agree that the only Claims that shall be entitled to further refunds or credits are those for which the preponderance of the data reasonably available to the Department shows they meet the following terms: 1) are eligible for evaluation under the terms set forth above; 2) are made by a Claimant who filed an Arizona income tax return in the year to which the Claim relates and whose net payment of Arizona income tax for such year, considering all prior refunds for such tax year, is greater than \$0.00; 3) are supported by sufficient proof from the Claimant or the records reasonably available to the Department that the Claimant was a federal employee in the tax year to which the Claim relates, made contributions to a federal retirement system in that tax year, and paid Arizona income tax on such contributions for that tax year. The Plaintiffs and the Department agree as part of the Settlement that the sources of data that the Department shall access to determine eligibility under the foregoing criteria (the "Data Sources") shall be substantially similar to the data sources used to evaluate claims under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1 to the extent such data sources remain available to the Department, and in the form(s) such data sources have been supplemented or restored since the release of ITR 98-1.

As part of its evaluation of refund eligibility, the Department will first look at all Data Sources and other data in its possession relevant to the claims under review. If such data in the Department's possession cannot be readily accessed in its current condition, and the Department concludes it is not feasible for the Department to access or restore such data, the Department will alert Plaintiffs' Counsel within a reasonable time concerning the categories of data that are not feasible to access or restore. If the parties are unable to agree upon an acceptable and reasonable solution, the matter will be referred to Bruce E. Meyerson, Esq., for mediation and resolution.

Mr. Meyerson's decision on the matter will be final and non-appealable. If the data needed to evaluate a refund Claim is not in the Department's possession, the Department shall attempt to contact the Claimant in writing and request the Claimant to provide the necessary data to the Department.

1

2

3

10

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10. DETERMINING THE AMOUNTS OF REFUNDS. The amount of each refund or 4 credit shall be calculated in accordance with Arizona law and based on the information available to the Department from its current records, from the Data 5 Sources, or from the Claimants in response to the Department's requests for submission within specified time periods. The Plaintiffs and the Department agree 6 that the formulas to be applied to determine the amount of a refund for any Claim that 7 has otherwise been determined to be eligible for a refund, but for which insufficient data exists to determine the amount of federal retirement contributions on which Arizona income tax was paid by the Claimant in the relevant year shall be the same formulas used in the program that was implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1 for tax years 1986 through 1990. For tax year 1985, the Department shall use the formula used for tax year 1986 or such other formula which approximates the contributions in said tax year. The parties agree that the amount of any refund for a tax year made pursuant to this Settlement shall not exceed the net taxes paid by the relevant taxpayer(s) for that tax year considering any prior refunds paid to such taxpayer(s). The parties agree that the total amount of refunds that may be paid under the terms of this Settlement has not yet been determined.
- TIMING OF ISSUANCE OF REFUNDS/CREDITS. The Department reserves the right to make no payments and issue no credits in connection with its evaluation of 15 Claims until it has completed the evaluation of all Claims required by this Settlement. The Department shall reserve the right to provide notice to any Claimant of the 16 amount(s) of any refunds or credits the Department has calculated in connection with 17 such Claimant's Claim in advance of completing the evaluation of all Claims, along with the rate of any accruing interest. 18
  - Within and in accordance with any confidentiality requirements imposed by law, the Department shall inform Plaintiffs' Counsel of how it intends to treat refunds or credits on any Claims that constitute the community property of Claimants who are now divorced, on how to treat refunds and credits to which an estate or heirs of a deceased Claimant may have claims, and on how the Department shall handle refunds or credits where the Department is no longer able to locate the Claimant. Plaintiffs acknowledge that the determination of the appropriate treatment of potential community or former community Claims or of Claims by a deceased Claimants' heirs or estate is in the discretion of the Department under Arizona law.
- APPLICATION OF OFFSETS AND TAXES OWED OBLIGATIONS. The 25 Plaintiffs acknowledge that all refunds or credits under the Settlement are subject to offsets authorized or required by Arizona law, including without limitation offsets by 26 the Department for taxes owed by Claimants pursuant to A.R.S. § 42-1118 and other 27

- offsets pursuant to A.R.S. § 42-1122. The amount subject to offset shall be determined after the deduction of attorneys' fees.
- 13. FILING OF SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT. The Plaintiffs agree that upon completion by the Department of its evaluation of Claims under the terms expressed here, and issuance by the Department of any further refunds or credits that such evaluation determines any Claimants are eligible for, and payment of any attorneys' fees awarded by the Tax Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel, Plaintiffs shall immediately complete and file a form of satisfaction of judgment reflecting that the terms of any final judgment entered pursuant to this Settlement and the remaining terms of the 1998 Judgment, as modified pursuant to the Settlement, have been satisfied in full and that all Claims have been satisfied and paid in full.

13.

- 14. COSTS INCURRED DURING THE REVIEW OF ALL RELEVANT CLAIMS.
  The Department shall bear the cost of administering the Settlement, including the costs of the Plan of Notice and the costs of reviewing all Claims which have been deemed eligible for review under the foregoing terms; provided, however, that the Settlement is contingent upon the Department obtaining sufficient additional appropriations from the Arizona Legislature to allow the Department to implement the evaluation process agreed upon without using the Department's normal operating funds.
- ACCOUNTING OF CLAIMS REVIEWED AND REFUNDS DISTRIBUTED. The Department shall provide Plaintiffs' Counsel on a quarterly basis an Accounting concerning the Claims evaluated by the Department and the results of such 15 evaluations, including the aggregate number of Claims reviewed and the aggregate 16 amount of refunds or credits calculated in the reporting period. The Accounting will be transmitted to Plaintiffs' Counsel electronically in a CSV or Microsoft Excel 17 Details regarding the identity of any Claimant shall remain strictly format. confidential in accordance with A.R.S. § 42-2003 and all applicable federal law, 18 including confidentiality requirements of the Internal Revenue Service, and will not be disclosed to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel, and any other matter that is required 19 to remain confidential pursuant to state or federal law shall not be disclosed to 20. Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel. If any Claims are deemed by the Department as ineligible for refund, the specific reason for the denial shall be included without 21 identifying the specific Claimant or any other information required to be maintained as confidential pursuant to applicable law. 22
- Upon the request of any particular Claimant, confidential information may be disclosed to that Claimant or his attorney(s), by submitting a current and valid written authorization on a form pre-approved by the Department for such purposes.
- 16. ATTORNEYS' FEES. Upon execution of the Settlement and submission to the Tax
   Court of the form of notice discussed in paragraph 6 above, Plaintiffs' Counsel are entitled to submit to the Tax Court an application for an award of attorneys' fees from any refunds or credits paid to Claimants under the terms of the Settlement. Plaintiffs'

Counsel agree that they will not seek an amount greater than 20% of any refund or credit actually paid, which percentage is the percentage awarded by the Tax Court in the 1998 Judgment, a copy of which is Exhibit C hereto. The parties hereby notify the Tax Court that the Department does not intend to file any response to such application unless requested by the Tax Court; provided, however, that this position by the Department does not constitute any acknowledgement by the Department that it is without standing or otherwise is or should be unauthorized to contest, object to. or comment upon attorneys' fees applications in similar cases. It is further provided this paragraph does not constitute any acknowledgement by Plaintiffs' Counsel that the Department has standing or is otherwise authorized to contest, object to, or comment upon the attorneys' fees applications filed by Plaintiffs' Counsel in the Litigation. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall limit their application to a percentage of refunds or credits actually issued to Claimants under the terms discussed herein. application for attorneys' fees shall request that the Department set aside any percentage awarded by the Tax Court when the Department calculates and processes any refunds or credits, and that Plaintiffs' Counsel be paid any fees awarded by the Tax Court only after completion of the evaluation process and that the fees related to a particular Claim be paid at the time of issuance of any refund(s) or credit(s) for that Claim by the Department.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

22

-23

24

25

26

27

78

The Parties acknowledge that individual notice concerning the attorneys' fees awarded in the 1998 Judgment has previously been provided pursuant to the Court's order of March 3, 1998. While it is not possible now to produce a master certificate of mailing, it is likely that the Department attempted to send a copy of the 1998 attorneys' fees hearing notice to all Claimants who had filed a Claim prior to the mailing of the notice of the 1998 hearing. It is Plaintiffs' Counsel's position that all Claimants who received the 1998 notice are bound by the fee award under the 1998 Judgment, which is final and controlling. In addition, as to those Claimants who received Paid Claims for one or more tax years under ITR 98-1, it is Plaintiffs' Counsel's position that the fee award under the 1998 Judgment is final and controlling with respect to any additional refunds or credits issued under the Settlement. Plaintiffs' Counsel have reserved all rights to proceed to enforce the 1998 Judgment on this attorneys' fees issue and do not waive that reservation of rights by anything contained in this paragraph or this Settlement.

NOTICE TO CLAIMANTS REGARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES APPLICATION. As part of the Plan of Notice, all Claimants who have filed a Claim and whose Claim is deemed eligible for review under the foregoing terms shall be provided with a notice regarding Plaintiffs' Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and an opportunity to be heard before the Tax Court concerning the application. The notice shall identify a date, time and location for hearing on the application for attorneys' fees and shall specify the contents of and the date by which an objection to the application must be filed.

- ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS AND JUDICIAL ACTIONS. Any Claimant who has been or is hereafter denied a refund for any reason, or who desires to challenge the amount of the refund(s) he or she has been or is hereafter provided, shall be 2 limited to pursuing relief from the Department's decision through the Department's 3 established administrative appeal process, consistent with the procedures and law applicable thereto. It is agreed that nothing in the Settlement or the final judgment 4 approving the Settlement shall toll or impair in any way any of the regulatory or statutory requirements, including any limitations periods, applicable to any Claims 5 that have been or are hereafter paid by the Department or that have been or are hereafter denied by the Department, and that nothing in the Settlement or the final 6 judgment approving the Settlement shall grant or confer any rights to review or 7 appeal to any Claimant whose prior failure to timely seek review of or to appeal a Denied Claim or a Paid Claim has extinguished such rights. 8
- 9 19. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS. In the event the Settlement is not approved or the final judgment contemplated herein is not entered, the parties will be restored to their respective positions as of the date of this Settlement and the Settlement shall be of no force or effect and the agreements reflected herein will be without prejudice to the parties' rights to maintain their respective positions concerning the right of recovery or defenses thereto before the Tax Court or in any appeal taken therefrom.
- 13 20. <u>SETTLEMENT REPORTING</u>. The Department will report refund and interest payments paid under the Settlement on Form 1099G and Form 1099INT or such other form as required by the Internal Revenue Service. The Department agrees, consistent with published Internal Revenue Service guidance, to report only the net amount paid to a Claimant as taxable income, and the amount paid to Plaintiffs' Counsel as common fund attorneys' fees shall be reported for tax purposes by Plaintiffs' Counsel.
- 21. <u>BEST EFFORTS</u>. The parties and their counsel will use their best efforts to implement the Settlement.
- 19 22. GOVERNING LAW. The Settlement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws and Constitution of the State of Arizona and the United
   20 States Constitution.
- 23. <u>FINALITY</u>. The parties to the Settlement intend it to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes asserted or which could have been asserted by the Original Plaintiffs and all Claimants against the Department with respect to the matters settled herein. In the event this Settlement is not finally approved, nothing contained herein shall be construed as a concession of any previously disputed issue in the Litigation.
- 25 24. CONTINUING TAX COURT SUPERVISION. Upon final approval of the Settlement, except as otherwise specified herein, it is agreed that the Tax Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until the parties have fully performed all of their obligations hereunder.

クタ

- PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED CLAIMANTS. During the course of the Litigation, 1 Plaintiffs' Counsel has identified from time to time to either the Department, its counsel, or the Tax Court a number of instances where Claimants did not receive 2 refunds pursuant to the Department's prior refund process under ITR 98-1. It is agreed 3 that each of these Claimants has again been identified by Plaintiffs' Counsel to the Department and the Department will review each of these Claimants' eligibility for refunds consistent with the criteria and procedures for review and determination applied to all other claims elgible for review under the terms of this Settlement. 5 However, it is agreed that, given the dates upon which these Claimants were previously identified by Plaintiffs' Counsel, each of these Claimants shall be 6 considered timely in the event that they are otherwise eligible for relief under the 7 Settlement. This list of previously identified Claimants has been provided to the Department's counsel, William A. Richards, Esq. prior to the submission of the 8 Settlement to the Tax Court for approval.
- 26. TIMING OF PAYMENT. It is estimated that the payment of refunds or credits contemplated by the Settlement will be made not later than one year after the Settlement is finally approved by the Tax Court. In the event that this date for payment cannot be achieved, the Department shall present to the Tax Court evidence that the Department is continuing to make its best efforts to complete performance of its obligations and that the delay in payment is justified by good cause.
  - 27. TAX COURT APPROVAL. The parties shall submit this Settlement as soon as practicable to the Tax Court for preliminary and final approval.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2006.

17 BONN & WILKINS, CHARTI	ERED
---------------------------	------

O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DEJONG S.C.

18
19 By: Randall D. Wilkins, Esq.

By: Eugene O. 1

Randall D. Wilkins, Esq. Paul V. Bonn, Esq.

Brian J. Campbell, Esq.

D. Michael Hall, Esq.

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Eugene O. Duffy, Esq.

William A. Wiseman, Esq.

Plaintiffs' Counsel

23 .

20

21

22

13.

15

16

24

25

26 27

- -

. 1	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
2	0 10
3	By. Lek L. Lawett
. 4	Gale L. Garriott, as Director
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
6	TERRY GODDARD
7	Arizona Attorney General
8	By:
9	William A. Richards, Esq.
10	Assistant Attorney General Senior Litigation Counsel
, <b>11</b>	Civil Division  Defendants' Counsel
12	
13	
14	
,15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	Randall D. Wilkins, State Bar No. 009350	
	Paul V. Bonn, State Bar No. 001516	
2	D. Michael Hall, State Bar No. 010267	
_	Brian J. Campbell, State Bar No. 013177	
3	BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED	•
	805 North Second Street	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
_	(602) 254-5557	•
5	T 0 T 0	1
	Eugene O. Duffy	
6	Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753	
7	William A. Wiseman	
,	Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696	
8	O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C.	
. •	Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803	•
, 9	(414) 276-5000	
	(414) 270-3000	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
	Timornoys for I manage	
:11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF ARIZONA
12	IN THE ARIZON	IA TAX COURT
13		
13 .	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL,	
14	individually and as representatives of the class	No. TX 97-00119
, <b>1</b> -	comprised of federal employees who paid	No. TX 97-00131
15	Arizona income taxes on federal retirement	No. TX 97-00150
13.	contributions during one or more of the years	(Consolidated)
16	1985 to date,	
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH
6.1		PREJUDICE
18	<b>v.</b>	
	CALE I CARRIOTT in his composition	(Againment to the Hon Morle W. Agreetenne)
19	GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of	(Assigned to the Hon. Mark W. Armstrong)
-	Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF	·
20	REVENUE of the State of Arizona,	
21	TOE VELVOE OF THE BLACK OF THE MONEY	
21	Defendants.	·
22		
£	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND	•
23	THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS,	
	CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON,	•
24	HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,	
25	Counterclaimants,	
	<b>v.</b>	
26		
	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	•
<b>27</b> .	DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,	1
_		
28	Counterdefendants.	

- 1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties hereto through
- 2 their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement (the "Settlement")
- 3 filed herein, as follows:
- 1. The terms used herein shall have the same meaning as the terms contained in the Settlement.
- Except as provided below, upon entry by the Tax Court of a final judgment approving
  the parties' Settlement, all claims and causes of action, including without limitation all
  purported or putative class or representative claims, pending in this matter against the
  Department shall be dismissed with prejudice with all parties to bear its or their own
  attorneys' fees and costs in connection with any such dismissed claims or causes of
  action. As set forth in paragraphs 7 and 16 of the Settlement, the dismissal does not
  include Plaintiffs' Counsel's common fund attorneys' fee request.
  - 3. With respect to any Claims that are subject to evaluation for refund eligibility under the terms of the Settlement, the dismissal contemplated in paragraph 2 above shall become effective against the Department only upon full performance by the Department of its obligations under the terms of the Settlement with respect such individual Claims, and the dismissal shall not preclude or limit the rights of Claimants whose Claims are subject to evaluation for refund eligibility under the Settlement to any administrative appeal or post-administrative appeal review of any decision of the Department concerning their Claims under the terms of the Settlement and the Arizona law governing appeal rights of taxpayers whose refund claims are denied by the Department.
  - 4. The parties have lodged an Order in the form attached hereto to implement the foregoing Stipulation.

. 1	Dated this day of April, 2006.	
2	BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED	O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DEJONG S.C.
3		
4	By:	Ву:
5	Randall D. Wilkins, Esq. Paul V. Bonn, Esq.	Eugene O. Duffy, Esq. William A. Wiseman, Esq.
6	Brian J. Campbell, Esq.	Plaintiffs' Counsel
7	D. Michael Hall, Esq.  Plaintiffs' Counsel	
8	TERRY GODDARD	
9	Arizona Attorney General	
10		
11	By:	
12	William A. Richards, Esq. Assistant Attorney General	
13	Senior Litigation Counsel Civil Division	
14	Defendants' Counsel	
15		
16		
17		
18		
.19		
20		
21		•
22		
23		
24	•	•
25		
26	•	
27		
28		

comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants,  V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
805 North Second Street 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 254-5557 5 Eugene O. Duffy 6 Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman 7 Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. 8 Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803 (414) 276-5000 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AR 12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT 13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, 14 individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date, 17 Plaintiffs, OR OF DISMISSAL V 19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona, 21 Defendants. 22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 25 Counterclaimants, V. 26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
Eugene O. Duffy Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803 (414) 276-5000  10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AR  12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 16 1985 to date,  17 Plaintiffs, OR OF DISMISSAL V  18 V.  19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  21 Defendants.  22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants, V.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
Eugene O. Duffy Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803  (414) 276-5000  Autorneys for Plaintiffs  IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AR  IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 18 V.  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
6 Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803 (414) 276-5000  10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AN 12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT 13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL. 14 individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid 15 Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 16 1985 to date,  17 Plaintiffs, OR OF DISMISSAL V 19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona, 20 Defendants. 21 Defendants. 22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND 23 THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 25 Counterclaimants, V. 26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
7 Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'NEL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803 9 (414) 276-5000 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AR 12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT 13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, 14 individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid 15 Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 16 1985 to date, 17 Plaintiffs, OR OF DISMISSAL V 18 V. 19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona, 21 Defendants. 22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 25 Counterclaimants, V. 26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803  (414) 276-5000  10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AB  12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, 14 individually and as representatives of the class 20 comprised of federal employees who paid 21 Arizona income taxes on federal retirement 22 contributions during one or more of the years 23 1985 to date,  24 Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  25 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as 26 Director of the Arizona Department of 27 REVENUE of the State of Arizona, 28 USAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND 29 THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, 20 CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, 21 HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 25 Counterclaimants, 26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803  (414) 276-5000  10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARTICOPE ARTICOPY AND THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARTICOPY AND THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 16 1985 to date,  17 Plaintiffs, OR OF DISMISSAL V  19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  21 Defendants.  22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants, V.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
9 (414) 276-5000  10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF AB  12 IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  13 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, 14 individually and as representatives of the class 20 comprised of federal employees who paid 21 Arizona income taxes on federal retirement 22 contributions during one or more of the years 23 Plaintiffs, 24 Plaintiffs, 25 OR 26 OF DISMISSAL V  27 OF DISMISSAL V  28 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND 29 THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, 20 CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, 21 HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 22 Counterclaimants, 23 V. 24 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARE  IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants,  V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V.  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona, Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	RIZONA
individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 16 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the Arizona Department of Revenue, the Arizona Department of Revenue of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants,  V.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1985 to date,  Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V  OF DIS	797-00119 797-00131
16 1985 to date,  17 Plaintiffs,  OR OF DISMISSAL V.  18 V.  19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  21 Defendants.  22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants, v.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	397-00150 solidated)
OF DISMISSAL V  19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  21 Defendants.  22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants, v.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
18 V.  19 GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  21 Defendants.  22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants, v.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	RDER WITH PREJUDICE
Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,  Defendants.  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, v.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
20 Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona, 21 Defendants. 22 SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND 23 THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, 24 HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C., 25 Counterclaimants, v. 26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	n. Mark W. Armstrong)
Defendants.  22  SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND  THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, v.  26  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, v.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  Counterclaimants, v.  STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	:
24 HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,  25 Counterclaimants,  v.  26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
26 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
TO THE A PARTY AND A PARTY TO A PARTY FITTING TO THE	
27 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,	- V
28 Counterdefendants.	

1	Having considered the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice submitted by the parties hereto,
2	and good cause appearing therefor,
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: The terms used herein shall have the same meaning as
4	the terms contained in the Stipulation of Settlement (the "Settlement"). Except as provided
5	below, all claims and causes of action, including without limitation all purported or putative
6	class or representative claims, pending in this matter against the Department are hereby
7	dismissed with prejudice with all parties to bear its or their own attorneys' fees and costs in
8	connection with any such dismissed claims or causes of action. As set forth in paragraphs 7
<u>·</u> 9	and 16 of the Settlement, this dismissal does not include Plaintiffs' Counsel's common fund
10	attorneys' fee request.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that with respect to any Claims that are subject to evaluation for
12	refund eligibility under the terms of the Settlement, the dismissal contemplated above shall
13	become effective against the Department only upon full performance by that Department of its
14	obligations under the terms of the Settlement with respect to such individual Claims, and the
15	dismissal shall not preclude or limit the rights of Claimants whose Claims are subject to
16	evaluation for refund eligibility under the Settlement to any administrative appeal or post-
17	administrative appeal review of any decision of the Department concerning their Claims under
8	the terms of the Settlement and the Arizona law governing appeal rights of taxpayers whose
ا9	refund claims are denied by the Department.
20	DATED this day of, 2006.
21.	
22 .	Honorable Mark W. Armstrong
23	Judge of the Superior Court
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

3	Paul V. Bonn, State Bar No. 001516 D. Michael Hall, State Bar No. 010267 Brian J. Campbell, State Bar No. 013177 Bonn & Wilkins, Chartered 805 North Second Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 254-5557  Eugene O. Duffy Wisconsin Bar No. 1015753 William A. Wiseman Wisconsin Bar No. 1015696 O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, De Jong S.C. Suite 1400, 111 East Wisconsin Avenue	
ç	Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4803 (414) 276-5000	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
. 11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
.12	IN THE ARIZO	NA TAX COURT
. 13		
. 14	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class	No. TX 97-00119
15	comprised of federal employees who paid	No. TX 97-00131 No. TX 97-00150 (Consolidated)
16	1007 1 1	(COLECTION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF
. 17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION
18	<b>v</b> .	OF PLAN OF NOTICE
19	GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of	(Assigned to the Hon. Mark W. Armstrong)
20		
21		
22		
23	THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN & WILKINS,	
24	CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DE JONG, S.C.,	
25	Counterclaimants,	
26	v.	
27	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA	
28	Counterdefendants.	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the		
parties hereto, through the undersigned, their respective counsel, subject to the approva		
of the Tax Court, as follows:		
1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as the terms		
set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement.		
2. The parties will present the Tax Court with this Plan of Notice		
and with the (2) forms of notice, one form for mailing (attached as Exhibit B-1 hereto)		
and the other for publication (attached as Exhibit B-2 hereto) to inform Claimants of the		
proposed Settlement, the Settlement hearing and their rights with respect thereto.		
3. The Department will mail a copy of Exhibit B-1, by first class		
mail to all Claimants who have been identified from the Department's records. The		
Department will complete the mailing on or before May, 2006.		
4. The Department shall also be responsible for publishing a copy		
of Exhibit B-2, once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks in the Federal Times as a		
legal notice therein. Such publication shall be completed by May, 2006.		
5. The Department shall file proof with the Tax Court prior to the		
date for the final hearing concerning the approval of the Settlement that the foregoing		
notice was provided.		
6. Within a reasonable time following the entry of a final judgment		
approving the Settlement, the Department will provide written notice by first class mail		
that no further refunds will be made to Claimants on any Denied Claims, Paid Claims or		
Late Claims.		

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTE	. LED this day of April, 2000.
2	BONN & WILKINS, CHARTERED S.C.	O'NEIL, CANNON, HOLLMAN, DEJONG
4	Ву:	Ву:
5	Randall D. Wilkins, Esq.	Eugene O. Duffy, Esq.
6	Paul V. Bonn, Esq. Brian J. Campbell, Esq.	William A. Wiseman, Esq. Plaintiffs' Counsel
7	D. Michael Hall, Esq. Plaintiffs' Counsel	
.8		
9	TERRY GODDARD Arizona Attorney General	
10		
11,	Ву:	
12	William A. Richards, Esq. Assistant Attorney General	
13	Senior Litigation Counsel	
14	Civil Division  Defendants' Counsel	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25	<u>,</u>	
26		:
27		
28		

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
2	IN AND FOR THE COUN		
3	 		
4	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL,	No. TX 97-00119	
_	DI - i1-50-	No. TX 97-00131	
5	Plaintiffs,	No. TX 97-00150	
6	vs.	(Consolidated)	
7		NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND	
,	GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as	SETTLEMENT HEARINGS	
8	Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE		
9	of the State of Arizona,		
10	Defendants.	(Assigned to the Honorable	
10		Mark W. Armstrong)	
11.			
12			
12	PLEASE READ THIS NO	TICE CAREFULLY	
13			
1.4		LITIGATION AND A PROPOSED	
.14	SETTLEMENT OF THIS LITIGATION AND CO		
15	AS TO RIGHTS YOU MAY HAVE TO RECEIV	E TAX REFUNDS.	
16	YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE DOES NOT	INDICATE THAT YOU ARE ELIGIBLE	
	FOR A REFUND OR THAT ANY CLAIM FILE		
17	REVIEWED PURSUANT TO THE PROPOSE	D SETTLEMENT DISCUSSED IN THIS	
.18	NOTICE.		
19	ALL DECISIONS ON WHETHER ANY REI		
20	REVIEWED FURTHER OR WHETHER YOU V ANY REFUND WILL BE COMMUNICATED		
21	PROPOSED SETTLEMENT DISCUSSED D		
	APPROVAL FROM THE COURT BEFORE WI	HICH THIS CASE IS PENDING.	
22	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT A PRO	BOSED SETTI EMBNIT ("Sattlement") UAS	
23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
24	BEEN reached in the above-captioned Litigation ("Litigation"). The above named plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") filed suit to recover the Arizona income taxes paid by federal employees on mandatory		
	contributions to federal retirement programs ("Claim	•	
25	Arizona tax law discriminated against certain of thos		
26	111. The Litigation is back before the Tax Court upo	n remand from the Supreme Court of Arizona	
7.0	111. In managed to dead outsto me 1 mi court also	it tomand from the puprome court of tomoria	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		EXHIBIT B-1	

ንጀ

- to address the Plaintiffs' Claims that additional refunds are due Claimants for one or more of the years 1985 to and including 1990. It has been finally determined that the Arizona Tax Law for years after 1990 does not unlawfully discriminate against federal employees. This notice is not an expression of any opinion by the Court as to the merits of any of the claims or defenses asserted by any party in this Litigation. The purpose of this notice is to inform you of the Court's order granting preliminary approval of the proposed Settlement, and to notify you of certain rights you may have.
- Terms of Proposed Settlement. Subject to Tax Court approval and other conditions, the Plaintiffs and the Arizona Department of Revenue ("Department") have agreed on a Settlement under which the Department will evaluate certain individual refund claims ("Claim(s)") filed with the Department before July 9, 1998 for eligibility for further refunds. The refund payments will be in final settlement of all Claims by Claimants against the Department for the years 1985 to 1990. The proposed Settlement is a compromise of disputed claims and does not represent an admission of liability or responsibility on the part of the Department to pay refunds.
- Eligibility for Refunds. In 1998, the Department issued Individual Income Tax 10 Ruling 98-1 ("ITR 98-1). Under this ruling, the Department paid refunds of certain individual refund Claims. As part of its Settlement obligations, and except as stated below, the Department agrees to evaluate for further refund eligibility any individual refund Claim that was filed prior to July 9, 1998 12 and that was either not previously evaluated for eligibility by the Department, or that was previously denied as being "untimely" or for reasons that were not otherwise specified in the denial paperwork. However, the Department shall not evaluate further any Claims that the Department previously denied for the following reasons: 1) because the Department determined that no sufficient evidence existed that the Claimant had made any federal retirement pension contributions during the relevant .15 tax year(s) (including those Claimants for whom the Department had determined no sufficient evidence existed that they were employed by the federal government during the relevant tax year(s)); 2) because the Department determined no sufficient evidence existed that the Claimant filed an 17 Arizona tax return for the tax year for which the Claimant seeks a refund; or 3) because the Department determined that the Claimant had no Arizona tax liability or paid no Arizona income 18 taxes in the tax year(s) for which the Claimant made his or her Claim(s). All Claims falling within the foregoing three (3) categories are referred to hereafter as the "Denied Claims." The Department 19 shall also not evaluate further any Claims for any years on which any refund payment has been made 20 previously by the Department (the 'Paid Claims'), and all such Claims shall be considered by all parties to have been paid in full for the years paid. 21
  - In deciding which tax years each Claim's eligibility for refund or credit will be evaluated for, the Department will evaluate any Claim eligible for review that was filed using the protective claim form issued by the Department with the 1990 Arizona income tax form (the "1990 Claim Form") for eligibility in tax years 1985 through 1990, except to the extent the Claim already qualifies as a Denied Claim or Paid Claim for any of those tax years. The Department will evaluate all Claims eligible for review that were not filed on the 1990 Claim Form for eligibility only for any of the tax years 1985 though 1990 expressly identified by the Claimant on his/her Claim filing. Thus, for

EXHIBIT B-1

72

22

. 23

24

25

example, a protective claim form filed in 1995 checking boxes for 1990, 1992 and 1993 will only be evaluated for eligibility in 1990. However, evaluated Claims that expressly indicate a Claim for 1990, or that designate no particular tax year will be first evaluated for eligibility in tax year 1990. If such a Claim is determined to be eligible for refund or credit in 1990, including a Paid Claim for 1990, the Department shall then evaluate the Claim for eligibility in 1989, and, so long as the evaluations of such Claims continue to show eligibility for refund or credit in the year under review, the Department shall review the Claim for eligibility in the preceding year stopping after any review necessitated by these terms for eligibility in tax year 1985. At the point at which the evaluation determines that such a Claim is not eligible for refund or credit in a given year, the Department shall not evaluate the Claim for eligibility in any preceding year. At no point shall any Paid Claim be evaluated for further refund or credit eligibility. Also, Claims that specifically designate only years after 1990 will not be reviewed for eligibility, but will be denied as failing to file an eligible claim. For instance, if a Claimant filed a 1995 claim form marking 1992, 1993 and 1994 as the relevant claim years, the Department will not review the Claim for eligibility.

In determining eligibility the Department shall first attempt to access substantially the same types of data sources used to review claims under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1. The Department intends to utilize such data to the extent such data is still available to the Department, and in the forms, if any, that such data has been supplemented or restored since the release of ITR 98-1. In determining eligibility, the Department will attempt to review all data in its possession which is feasible and relevant to the eligibility review. To the extent, if any, that the Department does not have the necessary data in its possession, the Department will request the data from the Claimant.

- B. <u>Refund Calculation</u>. In calculating refunds, the Department shall follow a process similar to that used in determining the initial refunds paid in the Litigation during 1998 under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1.
- additional information. In the case of some Claimants, the Department may need additional information to determine whether a refund is due and the amount of any refund. There is no requirement for a Claimant to file anything at this time. In the event additional information is required to determine your entitlement or the amount of the refund due you, you will be notified in writing by the Department. However, to minimize the possibility of subsequent delay, Claimants are encouraged to take steps to preserve whatever tax records they may have for tax years 1985 to and including 1990, including, but not limited to, Arizona tax returns, federal tax returns, W-2's, and any related documents, statements or other records concerning mandatory contributions to federal retirement programs.
- D. <u>Pending Appeals and Deadlines for Appeal</u>. Pursuant to the terms of the June 17, 1998 Judgment ("1998 Judgment") previously filed and entered in this Litigation, administrative action by the Department on appeals of previously Denied Claims has been stayed. Upon entry of a final judgment approving this Settlement, the stay on these pending administrative appeals and of

EXHIBIT B-1

.25

- any unexpired deadlines for appeals of previously Denied Claims will be lifted and the Department will continue to process appeals of Denied Claims pursuant to the existing administrative appeals procedures of the Department.
- E. <u>Claims Not Eligible for Further Review</u>. Within a reasonable time after entry of a final judgment approving the Settlement, the Department shall notify Claimants whose Claims are not eligible for further review (for example, Claims filed on or after July 9, 1998). Appeals of the Department's determinations must be made in accordance with the existing administrative appeals procedures of the Department.
- 7 E. Calculation Notice. The Department has reserved the right to inform each Claimant by written notice of its refund calculation prior to issuing the refunds. The notice will also set forth the administrative appeals procedure for Claimants to object to any refund notice.
- G. Other Debts. If a Claimant is indebted to the State of Arizona or the United States, a refund under the Settlement will be offset against such debts to the extent authorized by law.
- H. Costs of Settlement Administration. The Department shall bear all costs of administering the Settlement; provided, however, that the Settlement is contingent upon the Department obtaining sufficient additional appropriations from the Arizona Legislature to allow the Department to implement the evaluation process agreed upon without using the Department's normal operating funds.
- J. Administrative Appeals. All appeals of any determination of the Department under the Settlement shall be pursuant to the existing administrative appeals procedures of the Department and Arizona law.
- 20 K. Community Property, Decedents and Undeliverable Refunds. In resolving disputes of divorced Claimants and in determining the process for determining the disposition of undeliverable refunds and refunds of deceased Claimants, the Department shall exercise its discretion under the statutes governing these matters.
- L. <u>Subsequent Notice</u>. Status reports as to developments, if any, in the administration of the Settlement will be posted on the Department's internet website, www.azdor.gov.
- 25 2. <u>Plaintiffs' Counsel</u>. Plaintiffs' counsel are Randall D. Wilkins, Paul V. Bonn, Brian J. Campbell and D. Michael Hall of Bonn & Wilkins, Chartered, Phoenix, Arizona and Eugene O. 26

EXHIBIT B-1

1	Duffy and William A. Wiseman of O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong S.C., Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Plaintiffs' Counsel may be contacted in writing at: FERC2 Refund Litigation, P.O. Box 1289,		
2	Phoenix, Arizona 85001-1289.		
3			
4	3. <u>Recommendation of Plaintiffs' Counsel</u> . Plaintiffs' Counsel have been engaged in the Litigation of this dispute for 16 years. They have conducted a thorough and intensive examination		
5	into the facts and law relating to the final phase of this Litigation — the entitlement of Claimants to		
6.	additional refunds and the delay and risks to Claimants in view of the defenses available to the Department to reduce or avoid the payments of refunds otherwise due.		
7	Among other things, the Settlement provides Claimants eligible for refunds under the terms of		
8	the Settlement with certainty of payment and the elimination of further delay. Based upon their		
9	evaluation of the benefits which the Settlement will provide to Claimants, Plaintiffs' Counsel have advised Plaintiffs that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate.		
10	4. Final Approval Hearing. The Tax Court has preliminarily approved the Settlement.		
11	However, final approval will not be granted until after the final Settlement approval hearing ("Final Approval Hearing"). The Final Approval Hearing will be held before the Honorable Mark W.		
12 13	Armstrong on, 2006, at a.m., Maricopa County Superior Court, Northeast Regional Court Center, 18380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032. The purpose of the Final		
.14	Approval Hearing is to determine whether the Settlement should be finally approved by the Tax		
15	Court. The Final Approval Hearing may be adjourned by the Tax Court from time to time without further notice.		
16	5. Right to Appear at Final Hearing. At the Final Approval Hearing, any Claimant may appear in person or through counsel and be heard concerning the fairness, reasonableness and		
17	adequacy of the Settlement. Claimants who support the proposed Settlement do not need to appear		
18	at the hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval. However, no person will be heard in opposition to the Settlement or the determination of attorneys' fees and costs and no papers		
19	submitted by any person will be considered by the Tax Court unless, on or before, 2006, such person (a) files with the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court, Central		
20	Courthouse, 201 West Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona 85003 a notice of his/her intention to appear,		
21	together with proof of status as a Claimant and a written statement that details the specific objection and basis for such objection; (b) if the objection concerns attorneys' fees, files an affidavit attesting		
22	to whether the Claimant received the prior written notice of the Tax Court's fee hearing held on April 3, 1998, and whether the Claimant received any refunds under ITR 98-1, and (c) serves copies of any		
23	papers filed with the Tax Court upon each of the following attorneys:		
24	PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS		
.25	Randall D. Wilkins, Esq. William A. Richards, Esq.		
26	FERC2 Refund Litigation Senior Litigation Counsel - CIVIL DIVISION		
27	EXHIBIT B-1		

ኃጷ

Attention: Objections Attention: Objections to 1 FERC2 Settlement 1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926 P.O. Box 1289 2 Phoenix, Arizona 85001-1289 3 All documents filed with the Tax Court shall be signed pursuant to Rule 11, Ariz. R. Civ. P. 4 5 Common Fund Case. A common fund case arises where a successful suit, brought by representative plaintiff(s), results in the creation of a monetary fund that benefits a limited and identifiable group similarly situated to the representative plaintiff(s). The 1998 Judgment determined that this case is a common fund case and that the total refunds and interest paid by the Department under the program implemented pursuant to ITR 98-1 constituted the common fund. The Settlement represents a compromise of disputed Claims to enforce the 1998 Judgment, which results in a monetary fund for the benefit of the Claimants who meet the eligibility requirements for a refund under the Settlement. 10 Attorneys' Fees. Consistent with the provisions of the 1998 Judgment, Plaintiffs' Counsel have applied for an award of 20 percent of the Common Fund under the Settlement for their services and expenses. If the Tax Court approves the Settlement, a hearing on the Attorneys' Fees :12 , 2006 at Application will be held on a.m., M.S.T., Maricopa County Superior Court, Northeast Regional Court Center, 18380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032, immediately following the Final Approval Hearing. Any Claimant may appear in person or through 14 counsel and be heard concerning the application of Plaintiffs' Counsel for the award of attorneys' fees and expenses. However, no person will be heard in opposition to Plaintiffs' Counsel's application 15 and no papers submitted by any person will be considered by the Tax Court unless he or she files and serves all papers in the manner specified in paragraph 5 above. 16 17 8. Change of Address. If this notice reached you at an address other than the address printed on the notice or if you recently have moved, please send your current address to the Arizona 18 Department of Revenue, P.O. Box 29099, Phoenix, Arizona 85038-9099, attention FERC Settlement. If you change your address before this case is finally resolved, you should immediately 19 notify the Arizona Department of Revenue of that change in writing. If you filed a joint return for 20 any of the years 1985 to and including 1990, please also include your spouse's name, social security number and current address and telephone number (if different). 21 Examination of Papers. This summary of the Settlement and the description of the 22 Litigation is not intended to be complete or exhaustive. For a more detailed statement of the matters 23 involved in this Litigation, including all the terms of the Settlement, you are referred to the Stipulation of Settlement dated April 19, 2006 and to the pleadings, motions, transcripts and other 24 documents filed in this Litigation, including the 1998 Judgment, all of which are on file with the Clerk and which may be examined during regular business hours at the office of the Clerk of the 25 Court Records, Maricopa County Superior Court, 601 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona 85003. The

6

EXHIBIT B-1

26

27

ኃዩ

1	Stipulation of Settlement may also be reviewed at the Department's internet website a www.azdor.gov and at Plaintiffs' Counsel's website at www.ferc2refunds.com.		
2			
3	all sums due Claimants and Plaintiffs' Counsel, and satisfaction of all of the Department's obligations under the Settlement, the Plaintiffs will file a satisfaction of the 1998 Judgment and any final judgment entered pursuant to the Settlement. If the Settlement is not approved, the case will continue to be prepared for trial or other judicial resolution of the claims and defenses on the issues		
4			
5			
. 6 7	of whether Claimants are eligible for additional refunds and, if so, the amount of refunds due to Claimants and whether the case should be certified as a class action.		
8	PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE JUDGE OR THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE.		
9	Any correspondence or questions you may have about the matters in this notice may be directed to the Department.		
10	PLEASE REMEMBER TO INCLUDE YOUR NAME, SOCIAL SECURITY		
11	NUMBER AND CURRENT ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER IN ALL COMMUNICATIONS. If you filed a joint return for any of the years 1985 to and including 1990,		
12	please also include your spouse's name, social security number and current address and telephone number (if different).		
13			
14	DATED this day of April, 2006.		
15			
16	/s/ Mark W. Armstrong		
17	Hon. Mark W. Armstrong		
18	Judge of the Superior Court		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	•		
24			
25			
26			
27	EXHIBIT B-1		
_ ,			

ንዩ

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA		
2		VII OF MARGEOTA	
3	SUSAN MORAN and JOHN UDALL,	No. TX 97-00119	
,		No. TX 97-00131	
4	Plaintiffs,	No. TX 97-00150	
5		(Consolidated)	
	VS.		
6		SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED	
7	GALE L. GARRIOTT, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue,	SETTLEMENT	
.8	the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE		
_	of the State of Arizona,	(Assigned to the Honorable	
9	Defendants.	Mark W. Armstrong)	
10			
11.			
, 1			
12	SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROP	TOPE SETTE EMENT AND	
	HEARINGS ON PROPOS		
13	HEARINGS ON TROTOL	SED SETTEMENT	
14	TO: ALL PRESENT AND FORMER FEDERA	AL EMPLOYEES WHO PAID ARIZONA	
		ERAL RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS	
15		YEARS 1985 TO AND INCLUDING 1990,	
16	TOGETHER WITH THEIR SURVIVO	RS, SPOUSES, HEIRS, SUCCESSORS,	
	ESTATES AND PERSONAL REPRESEN	TATIVES AND WHO FOR ANY ONE OR	
7	MORE OF THE YEARS 1985 TO AND IN	CLUDING 1990 HAVE NOT BEEN PAID A	
8	REFUND OF ALL SUCH TAXES PAID.		
	NOOTOE IS VIOLEDLY OUTER THAT		
9	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: on April 19, 2006 the parties to the ("Litigation") entered into a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Settlement") proposing the settlement of the parties to the settlement of the "Settlement".		
20	Litigation upon certain terms and conditions; and pu		
21	will be held on, 2006 (the "Final A		
7.1	Tax Court, Maricopa County Superior Court, North		
22	Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032, for the purpose of	<b>~</b>	
23	should be finally approved by the Tax Court and the		
	attorneys' fees and expenses. The hearing may be notice.	adjourned from time to time without further	
24	Horios,		
25	•	·	
	•		
<u>!</u> 6	•		
27		EXHIBIT B-2	

If you paid Arizona individual income taxes on mandatory federal retirement contributions 1 reported on your federal tax return during any one or more of the years 1985 to and including 1990, you may be entitled to a refund. However, to receive a refund under the Settlement, you must have filed an individual refund claim with the Arizona Department of Revenue before July 9, 1998, and must meet the other eligibility requirements of the Settlement. 4 A federal employee refund Claimant may object to all or any of the proposed Settlement and the application for attorneys' fees, provided that any objection must be submitted in accordance with the requirements stated in the Notice of Proposed Settlement and Settlement Hearings. The above description of the matters involved in this Litigation and proposed Settlement is 7 only a summary. You are referred to the pleadings, the Stipulation of Settlement and other papers filed in the Litigation, which may be inspected during regular business hours at the office of the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court for a complete description of the terms thereof. If you have questions concerning this Notice, you may obtain additional information in person at any Arizona Department of Revenue office, or by written or telephone request at Arizona Department of 10 Revenue, P.O. Box 29099, Phoenix, Arizona 85038-9099, (602) 542-0700, attention FERC Settlement. 11: 12 In addition, copies of the "Notice of Proposed Settlement and Settlement Hearings," the "Stipulation of Settlement" and the Tax Court's preliminary rulings concerning this Settlement are 13 also available at www.azdor.gov and from Plaintiffs' Counsel at www.ferc2refunds.com. 14 PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE TAX COURT 15 OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE DIRECTLY FOR SUCH INFORMATION 16

17 DATED: /s/ Mark W. Armstrong
Hon. Mark W. Armstrong
Judge of the Superior Court

20
21
22
23
24

26

27

28

2.5

EXHIBIT B-2

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN THE ARIZONA TAX COURT

CLARK J. KERR and BILLIE SUE KERR, husband and wife, SUSAN MORAN, STEVE ALLEN and JOHN UDALL, individually and as representatives of the class comprised of federal employees who paid Arizona income taxes on federal retirement contributions during one or more of the years 1984 to date,

Plaintiffs,

MARK J. KILLIAN, in his capacity as Director of the Arizona Department of Revenue, the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE of the State of Arizona,

Defendants.

STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,

Plaintiffs.

v. CLARK J. KERR and BILLIE SUE KERR, husband and wife,

Defendants.

No. TX 97-00119 No. TX 97-00131 No. TX 97-00150 (Consolidated)

JUDGMENT

(Assigned to the Honorable I. Sylvan Brown)

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-27

CLARK J. KERR and BILLIE SUE KERR, husband and wife; AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, BONN, LUSCHER, PADDEN & WILKINS, CHARTERED and O'NEIL, CANNON & HOLLMAN, S.C.,

Counterclaimants.

STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel., the ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.

Counterdefendants.

The Court determines that Bonn, Luscher, Padden & Wilkins, Chartered and O'Neil, Cannon & Hollman, S.C. are entitled to a common fund award of attorneys' fees, having given notice and an opportunity to any interested party to present evidence concerning the amount of an attorneys' fees award, has considered all the evidence presented by interested parties and determines that there is no just reason why an award of attorneys' fees for creation of the common fund of refunds (including interest) should not be made a final judgment at this time.

Based upon the foregoing and good cause appearing therefor:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That, as stated in this Court's decision of March 3, 1998 and April 7, 1998, which are incorporated by reference, Plaintiffs' and Counterclaimants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment re: Common Fund Award for Years 1985 through 1990 is granted and the Arizona Department of Revenue's Cross Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is denied.

#### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

2. Bonn, Luscher, Padden & Wilkins, Chartered and O'Neil, Cannon & Hollman, S.C., counsel for the Plaintiffs, are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of twenty percent (20%) of the common fund of taxes and compound interest for all refunds of Arizona income tax paid on federal employee retirement contributions for the tax years 1985 through 1990, whether paid in cash or by any other means, including by way of any credits or offsets. Said sums shall be paid by the Department of Revenue to counsel for the Plaintiffs. These sums may be paid by the Department of Revenue deducting the sum of 20% from the Refund to each federal employee, or if the Department

of Revenue fails to make such deductions, such sums shall be paid from such other funds of the State of Arizona as the Department of Revenue may determine appropriate.

- 3. The award of attorneys' fees to counsel for Plaintiffs shall bear interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of this Judgment until paid.
- 4. The Department of Revenue shall provide an accounting to counsel for Plaintiffs of the amount of each refund paid, whether paid in cash or by any other means, including by way of credits or offsets, and the amount withheld, if any, from each refund. The Department of Revenue shall provide an ongoing accounting of any future refunds paid for the tax years 1985 to 1990 to federal employees which would have been part of the common fund, if paid at the time of, or prior to this Judgment. The original accounting shall be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Judgment. The additional accountings shall be made at least every ninety (90) days following the original accounting.
- 5. The costs and expenses incurred by the Department of Revenue in processing the refunds, paying fees to counsel for the Plaintiff, and otherwise complying with this Judgment shall be borne and paid by the Department of Revenue.
- 6. Bonn, Luscher, Padden & Wilkins, Chartered as representative of the two firms shall pay to O'Neil, Cannon & Hollman, S.C. from the sums it receives from the Department of Revenue that portion of the fees to which O'Neil, Cannon & Hollman, S.C. is entitled pursuant to the agreement between the firms.
- 7. The payment of the attorneys' fees will be stayed pending appeal, if the State of Arizona and Department of Revenue timely appeals from either the granting of the partial summary judgment establishing the common fund or from the amount of the award of attorneys' fees. The stay of payment, however, shall not affect the State's obligation to provide accountings as set forth in this Judgment.
- 8. The court shall retain jurisdiction as may be necessary to oversee the administration and implementation of this Judgment and to oversee the correction of any errors in the administration or payment of refunds which the Department of Revenue fails to correct and which are brought to the attention of the court.

9. The Court, having found there is no just reason for delay, expressly directs that this Judgment be entered at this time as a final judgment pursuant to rule 54(b), Ariz.R.Civ.P.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 17 day of June, 1998.

J. Sylvan Brown
Honorable I. Sylvan Brown
Judge of the Superior Court